Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
JORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EON CORP IP HOLDINGS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARUBA NETWORKS INC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-01011-JST

MINUTE ORDER NOTING DISMISSAL DEFENDANTS BROADSOFT, MERU NETWORKS, ARUBA NETWORKS AND SERCOMM, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, GRANTING JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS

Re: ECF No. 830, 861, 878, 886

In this multiple-defendant action, Plaintiff EON Corp IP Holdings LLC ("Plaintiff") has filed motions jointly with some (but not all) of the defendants in this case, seeking dismissal of all claims and counterclaims between the jointly moving parties. ECF Nos. 830, 861, 878, 886.

There is authority indicating that the dismissals were effective without Court order. "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants . . . through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and "[t]he filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). While Wilson and other cases involved unilateral dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) rather than stipulated dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the Ninth Circuit has held that the word "action" in 41(a)(1) refers to "the entirety of claims against any single defendant," rather than to "the entire controversy against all the defendants." Pedrina v. Chun, 987 F.2d 608, 609 (9th Cir. 1993). At the time Pedrina was decided, the words "in the action" appeared after the words "all parties who have appeared." See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41 (West 1993).

In the alternative, if dismissal was not effective upon court order, since no other parties oppose the motions, the Court hereby GRANTS the joint motions to dismiss pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2).

Case3:12-cv-01011-JST Document905 Filed12/24/13 Page2 of 2

	1
	2 3 4
	4
	5 6 7 8 9
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
nia	12
istrict of Californi	13
of Ca	14
strict	15
n Dis	16
orther	17
ž	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

26

27

28

United States District Court

Defend	lants BroadSoft, Meru,	, Aruba and SerComm	n have been I	DISMISSED	WITH
PREJUDICE.	The Clerk shall termin	nate these defendants	as parties to	this action.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2013

JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge